

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 4285 10/685,011 10/14/2003 Steve Mitchell KLYCD-05010US1 **EXAMINER** 23910 11/30/2004 7590 FLIESLER MEYER, LLP BONDERER, DAVID A FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUITE 400 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 3732

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/685,011	MITCHELL, STEVE
Office Action Summ	ary	Examiner	Art Unit
		D. Austin Bonderer	3732
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 November 2004.			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-29,33-37,39-43,45-47,49,51-74 and 77-98 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 72-74 and 94 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-29, 33-37, 39-43, 45-47, 49, 51-71, 77-93, 95, 97, and 98 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 96 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 			
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Review (PTO-948) O-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10, 13, 16-29, 52, 55-82, 92, 93,95, 97, and 98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Buttner-Janz et al. ('296) (Janz).

Janz discloses a spinal implant comprising:

- A first part 1;
- A second part 2;
- And third part 3;
- The first part is "configured to" limit movement in a first and second direction;
- The second part is "configured to" limit movement in a third and forth direction;
- The third part is curved and the 1st and 2nd parts form a socket to hold the third part;
- Teeth to engage the opposite vertebrae;
- Can move about three perpendicular axis; and
- Wherein the 1st and 2nd parts have different configurations.

It has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138. The term "configured to" is deemed to be analogous to "adapted to".

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 5,6, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 53, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janz in view of Eisermann et al.

Janz uses teeth in a semicircular pattern. Eisermann teaches the use of a keel. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the teeth of Janz in a keel shape as taught by Eisermann in order to better secure the implant.

5. Claims 83-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janz in view of Bryan et al.

Janz lacks the use of polyethers. Bryan teaches the use of polyethers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Janz with a polyester material since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended us as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3732

7. Claims 1-29, 33-37, 39-43, 45-47, 49, 52-71, 77-86, and 87-91 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 and many others the Applicant states that the surfaces are substantiallyperpendicular to each other. This is unclear in light of the specification and the drawings. The term
perpendicular connotes at least a point where the two meet or one starts from the other. As claimed
it is not clear as to how the curved surfaces are perpendicular to each other it the resided in two
different planes and do not intersect.

In claim 64 it is unclear as to what plane is being defined.

In claim 87, while the spacer is non-symmetrical about a plane, it is symmetrical about plane as defined.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 72-74 and 94 are allowed.
- 9. Claim 96 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

Art Unit: 3732

Page 5

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on

the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to D. Austin Bonderer whose telephone number is 571.272.4708. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on 571.272.4720. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dab

PEDRO PHILOGÉNE

PRIMARY EXAMINER